Lighting the Future

# Effect of QW growth temperature on optical properties of blue and green InGaN/GaN structures



Menno Kappers, Fabien Massabuau, Martin Frentrup, Lata Sahonta, Rachel Oliver and Colin Humphreys (*University of Cambridge*)

Simon Hammersley and Phil Dawson (The University of Manchester)

#### Green gap

- Green QWs typically show lower IQEs than blue QWs (50 v 70%)
- "Green gap" explained by presence of polarizationrelated electric fields: Large electric fields can spatially separate the electron and hole wavefunctions in QW, reducing the oscillator strength of radiative transitions

# Growth temperature and low IQE in green QWs

- One key difference between the growth of blue and green QWs is the lower growth temperature used to achieve increased indium contents in the green
- Growth at lower temperature might significantly increase *point defect* or *impurity incorporation*, and thus reduce the IQE
- We aim to investigate how, for a constant emission wavelength, lowering the growth temperature affects the QW performance

# Samples

- Three blue 2T 10QW samples and three green 2T 5QW samples were grown.
- For each set of samples, three different QW growth temperatures were chosen.
- To compensate for the increased indium incorporation at reduced temperatures, the TMI flow was reduced to keep the variation of PL peak emission wavelength within each sample set within ~10 nm.
- InGaN QWs ~3nm thick and GaN barriers ~7nm grown on LDD GaN templates.

Sample number	Growth T/ °C	TMI flow / sccm
Blue1	748	120
Blue2	730	25
Blue3	716	16
Sample number	Growth T/ °C	TMI flow / sccm
Sample number Green1	Growth T/ °C 716	TMI flow / sccm 120
Sample number Green1 Green2	<b>Growth T/ °C</b> 716 706	TMI flow / sccm   120 60

# Quantum well growth method



GaN growth

InGaN growth

- Changes to the InGaN morphology (gaps and gross well width fluctuation)
- For samples emitting at the same wavelength 2T QWs typically gives significantly higher PL IQE.

# XRD characterisation

Sample	QW Temp (ºC)	TMI flow (sccm)	t <sub>qw</sub> +t <sub>qB</sub> (nm)	Av. In (%)	
Blue1	748	120	9.7 ± 0.2	3.15	
Blue2	730	25	$9.8 \pm 0.2$	3.14	
Blue3	716	16	9.7 ± 0.2	3.15	
			H =		
Green1	716	120	$9.9 \pm 0.2$	5.07	
Green2	706	60	$9.9 \pm 0.2$	5.24	
Green3	698	43	$10 \pm 0.2$	5.1	
Two samples gr	own at same	All blue sam	nples All	green samples	
temperature, with same		have very s	imilar   ha	have very similar	
dimensions, but different		QW parame	QW parameters QV		
indium contents	. Allows direct				
green/blue comparison		No QW thicknesses or compositions can be extracted from XRD data as QWs have gaps			

## AFM



#### Photoluminescence spectrum T = 10K



## Measurement of IQE: Green



# Measurement of IQE: Blue



# Decay time at peak of emission for blue emitters



## Comments on optical measurements

- Notable improvement in blue and green samples with growth temperature
- More rapid reduction in IQE as power drops reflecting changes to the non-radiative recombination processes
- Is this systematic change universal across both blue and green samples?

## Maximum IQE vs Growth Temperature



#### Comments

- Blue and green samples grown at the same temperature (716 °C) have very similar peak IQE (roughly 50%) despite significant difference in observed V-pit density.
  - Tentatively suggests limited impact of TDs on green samples.
- Overall, IQE seems to increase with growth temperature, and time-decay data suggests nonradiative recombination decreases.
  - Could be linked to decreasing point defect density
  - Need TEM to check for other structural differences

# TEM of blue and green samples

Sample	TT Temp (ºC)	GWWFs (µm⁻¹)	Gaps (µm⁻¹)	
Blue1	748	6.9 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.4	
Blue3	716	$3.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	
Green1	716	$6.0 \pm 0.8$	$1.0 \pm 0.7$	
Green3	698	$3.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.6 \pm 0.4$	

GWWF (gross well width fluctuation): region where the QW thickness drops to less than half the maximum value.

#### Gap:

Region where the QW thickness drops to zero.



Blue3
$:::: QW = 3.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ nm}$
<b>ţ</b> QB = 7.0 ± 0.5 nm



#### Green3

## Comments on TEM

For both blue and green sample sets:

- Samples grown at higher temperature exhibit more GWWFs and gaps in the QW
- Previous work has shown that increasing the density of GWWFs lead to increased IQE

It may be invalid to attribute optical improvements here solely to changes in point defect density

# Summary

- Both blue and green samples show a systematic increase in IQE with growth temperature for low power excitation conditions
- Systematic increase in maximum IQE with increasing temperature
- Non-radiative recombination processes become more important as the growth temperature is reduced
  - Both an increase in the point defect density (increase in number of non-radiative centres) and a change in the QW morphology (fewer gaps = fewer barriers to carrier diffusion to non-radiative sites) may be relevant to this change

Acknowledgement:

Financial support from EPSRC

