

UK Entrepreneurial University of the Year 2013/14 UK University of the Year 2012/13



IQE and all that jazz: the temperature dependence of semiconductor light emission



K.P. O'Donnell

SUPA Physics, Strathclyde University, Glasgow G4 0NG, Scotland











In the conventional model, an activation energy E_A separates a radiative (localised) state from a band of delocalised states, with a relative degeneracy ratio of $I:gT^{3/2}$.

The radiative fraction is then given by:

which shows the well-known general form, with a nearly constant intensity up to a temperature ~ E_A/IOk_B followed by a fairly rapid decline as T increases further.

Note: $E_A = k_B T_A$; I eV ~ II 600 K

The R-NR luminescence model



300

E	Ξa =	1000	k _B



The $T^{3/2}$ dependence is relatively weak and is often ignored. It makes little practical difference to the goodness of fit for most data. Simplifying and approximating the formula* produces the Arrhenius form of the relation.:

$$\frac{I(T)}{I(0)} = \frac{1}{1 + G \exp(-E_A / k_B T)} \sim G^{-1} \exp(E_A / k_B T)$$

A plot of log(I) vs 1/T can be fitted asymptotically with a straight line to yield an estimate of E_{A_i}

IQE(T = 300 K) is the value most often quoted, but the formula carries the implication that all semiconductor luminescence is 100% efficient at low temperatures: this is unlikely to be true.

We can usefully define the *half-power point* at which temperature the luminescence intensity drops to half-maximum: $T_{1/2} = \frac{E_A}{k_B \ln G}$

This parameter provides a 'sanity check' on the fitness of the model (see later).

Some comments on the R-NR model

$$1 + gT^{3/2} \exp(-E_A / k_B T)$$



*as is my wont



SUPA R R physics

GaN:Mg template

Eu ion implantation

HTHP annealing

Defect Eu0 is dominant near room temperature.



 $^5\text{D}_0$ to $^7\text{F}_2$ transition



Introducing the sigmoidal fit







K. P. O'Donnell, P. R. Edwards, M. J. Kappers, K. Lorenz, E. Alves and M. Boćkowski

to be published in

Proceedings of ICNS10, Washington

phys. stat. sol (c) (2014)

All fits tend to deviate at low PL intensity, due partly to errors in background subtraction.





The sigmoid as a smoothed step function









Solid State Communications Volume 34, Issue 10, June 1980, Pages 833–836

The temperature dependence of photoluminescence in a-Si: H alloys R.W. Collins^{*}, M.A. Paesler, William Paul Division of Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.

Abstract

Photoluminescence intensity observed near 1.3 eV in sputtered a-Si : H has been measured as a function of temperature for several samples prepared under differing conditions. The data are shown to obey an expression derived from a law of the form

where pnr and pnr are the probabilities for non-radiative and radiative recombination. We find $T_0 \approx 23$ K independent of sample preparation conditions.

The temp dependences are similar since the radiative fraction

Sigmoid: some physical justification

$$\frac{\rho_{NR}}{\rho_R} \sim e^{T/T_0}$$

$$\frac{\rho_R}{\rho_R + \rho_{NR}} \sim \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)} \quad \text{is a sigmoid with } T_{1/2} = 0 \text{ K and } S = T_0$$









Luminescence hysteresis

Eu0 anomalous; Eu1 quasi-normal.







The anomalous (hysteretic) behaviour here can be described by the usual equations if we allow negative energy as a fit parameter.



Sample 3a complete switching

The shaded region has a normal temp. dependence: whether warming or cooling, the intensity is lower at higher temperature.

Temperature (K)









$$\frac{1}{1 + gT^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{-E_A}{k_B T}\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + (1/gT^{3/2}) \exp\left(\frac{E_A}{k_B T}\right)}$$
$$1 - \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{T_{1/2} - T}{S}\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\left(\frac{T_{1/2} - T}{S}\right)\right)}$$

fall



A rising step is just the complement of a falling step-Sigmoid Frond

rise







Datafits are not perfect

Temperature (K)

Figure 2 Temperature dependence of the quantum efficiency of the BL band in high-resistivity Zn-doped GaN for selected P_{exc} .

Add a deep defect...Reshchikov model

Odd behaviour of the BL band in high-resistivity GaN:Zn: power-tuneable thermal quenching

GaN:Zn (deep acceptor) with shallow donor and *Shockley-Hall-Reed* e-h recombination centre.

EA

Phys. Status Solidi C 10, No. 3, 515–518 (2013)

Temp-dependence and power-dependence

d GaAs (
$$E_G = 1.43 \,\mathrm{eV}$$
)

$$e \operatorname{Al}_{0.17}\operatorname{Ga}_{0.83}\operatorname{As}(E_G = 1.67 \text{ eV})$$

 $f ZnTe (E_G = 2.26 \text{ eV})$ $g \operatorname{CdS}(E_{G} = 2.42 \,\mathrm{eV})$

ECTRONICS LETTERS 25th May 1989 Vol. 25 No. 11

Favennec, 25 years on

Temperature (K)

After Favennec et al (1989)

sample	semic. gap	G	Ta (K)	T1/2 (K)
а	GalnAsP 0.807 eV	5900	1100	130
b	Si 1.12 eV	240	820	150
с	InP 1.27 eV	400	1050	175
d	GaAs 1.43 eV	440	1130	190
е	AlGaAs 1.67 eV	7.50E+08	4900	240
f	ZnTe 2.26 eV	65	1190	285
g	CdS 2.42 eV	-	-	

LUMINESCENCE OF ERBIUM IMPLANTED IN VARIOUS SEMICONDUCTORS: IV, III-V AND II-VI MATERIALS

P. N. FAVENNEC H. L'HARIDON M. SALVI D. MOUTONNET Y. LE GUILLOU CNETILABIOCM Route de Treyustel, BP 40 22301 Lurinion Cedex. France

Favennec, 25 years on

Temperature (K)

11th April 1989

Band-gap (eV)

Favennec data

calc T1/2 vs bandgap

- The temperature dependence of luminescence from semiconductors is well described by the conventional R-NR model, unless it isn't.
- A parameter that can be extracted from the data is E_{A} , the activation/localisation/binding energy (delete as appropriate).
- More useful in a practical sense is the IQE (RT), but you may prefer to quote the half-power temperature $T_{1/2}$.
- In terms of the fitting parameters for the modified Arrhenius fit, $T_{1/2} = \frac{E_A}{k_B \ln G}$; for the sigmoidal fit $T_{1/2} = T_{1/2}$. (The derivation of an expression for $T_{1/2}$ in the conventional R-NR model is left as an exercise for Phil Dawson students.)
- In Reschikov's work, a slight modification of the simple temperature-dependence model produces wonderful complications.
- A reanalysis of Favennec's data 25 years on teaches us that extrapolation is dangerous.

Summary and conclusions

